

16 February 2026

MAC201092-02-2026LR01

Attention: Dargues Gold Mine (DGM) Sustainability Team
Aurelia Metals Ltd
920 Majors Creek Road
Majors Creek NSW 2622

Dear DGM Sustainability Team,

Unattended Noise Monitoring Summary

Dargues Gold Mine, George Street, Majors Creek, NSW

Month Ending January 2026

1 Introduction

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has completed a review of data from an unattended noise monitor located at Dargues Gold Mine (DGM), George Street, Majors Creek, NSW on behalf of Aurelia Metals Ltd.

The review of unattended data from the SVANTEK 200A Noise Monitoring Station (the monitor) was completed on behalf of DGM. The monitor was installed by MAC to assist in managing noise emissions from DGM and to supplement quarterly attended noise monitoring.

2 Analysis Methodology

The analysis reviewed evening and night noise levels (ie not daytime). Results obtained during non-prevailing meteorological conditions (ie wind speeds above 3m/s at 10m above ground and temperature inversion conditions of up to 3°C /100m and wind speeds of up to 2m/s at 10m above ground level) are considered not applicable against the EPL (#20095) criteria and have been excluded.

3 Unattended Monitoring Results and Discussion

A review of results and discussion for the unattended monitoring period for the month ending January 2026 has been completed. During the unattended monitoring period for January 2026, no noise events were observed that exceeded or approached the trigger threshold of 33dBA, as defined in Section 8.3.2 of the Noise Management Plan (NMP). This outcome aligns with the current operational status of the site, which remained in care and maintenance for the duration of the reporting period. As a result, no mining-related activities contribute to DGMs noise emissions.

The analysis of the recorded acoustic data confirmed that no significant noise events were detected, and all ambient noise remained well below the applicable threshold. No exceedances were recorded, and there were no instances requiring further analysis or source attribution. As such, no DGM-related noise contributions were identified or assessed.

Figure 1 presents the average monthly noise metrics for the monitoring terminal over several previous months. **Figure 2** presents the compliance performance with respect to DGM noise contributions LA90 and relevant criteria for the historic data.


We trust this information is satisfactory for your requirements at this time, if you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Yours sincerely



Daniel Brown
Acoustic Consultant
B.Env.Sc.
dbrown@mulleracoustic.com

Reviewed: Oliver Muller, Principal Acoustic Scientist, BSc (REM & HGeog) | MAAS



Nicholas Shipman
Acoustic Consultant
Dip. Env. M.T. | GC SpatialSci | MAAS
nshipman@mulleracoustic.com

